

# The Average Number of Divisors of the Euler Function

Sungjin Kim

Santa Monica College/Concordia University Irvine  
Department of Mathematics  
i707107@math.ucla.edu

Dec 17, 2016

# Euler Function, Carmichael Function - Definitions and Notations

Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Denote by  $\phi(n)$ ,  $\lambda(n)$ , the Euler Phi function and the Carmichael Lambda function, which output the order and the exponent of the group  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$  respectively.

# Euler Function, Carmichael Function - Definitions and Notations

Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Denote by  $\phi(n)$ ,  $\lambda(n)$ , the Euler Phi function and the Carmichael Lambda function, which output the order and the exponent of the group  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$  respectively.

Let  $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$  be a prime factorization of  $n$ , then we can compute  $\phi(n)$  and  $\lambda(n)$  as follows:

$$\phi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r \phi(p_i^{e_i}), \text{ and } \lambda(n) = \text{lcm}(\lambda(p_1^{e_1}), \dots, \lambda(p_r^{e_r}))$$

where  $\phi(p_i^{e_i}) = p_i^{e_i-1}(p_i - 1)$  and  $\lambda(p_i^{e_i}) = \phi(p_i^{e_i})$  if  $p_i > 2$  or  $p_i = 2$  and  $e_i = 1, 2$ , and  $\lambda(2^e) = 2^{e-2}$  if  $e \geq 3$ .

# Definitions and Notations

We write  $P_z = \prod_{p \leq z} p$ . We also use the following restricted divisor functions:

$$\tau_z(n) := \prod_{\substack{p^e \parallel n \\ p > z}} \tau(p^e), \quad \tau_{z,w}(n) := \prod_{\substack{p^e \parallel n \\ z < p \leq w}} \tau(p^e), \quad \text{and} \quad \tau'_z(n) := \prod_{\substack{p^e \parallel n \\ p \leq z}} \tau(p^e).$$

Moreover, for  $n > 1$ , denote by  $p(n)$  the smallest prime factor of  $n$ .

# Previous Results

## Previous Results

- Luca, Pomerance (LP, 2007): As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x \exp \left( \frac{1}{7} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}} \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{\log \log \log x}{\log \log x} \right) \right) \right) &\leq \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\lambda(n)) \\ &\leq \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\phi(n)) \leq x \exp \left( 2\sqrt{2} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}} \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{\log \log \log x}{\log \log x} \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

## Previous Results

- Luca, Pomerance (LP, 2007): As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x \exp \left( \frac{1}{7} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}} \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{\log \log \log x}{\log \log x} \right) \right) \right) &\leq \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\lambda(n)) \\ &\leq \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\phi(n)) \leq x \exp \left( 2\sqrt{2} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}} \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{\log \log \log x}{\log \log x} \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

- Luca, Pomerance (LP, 2007): As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\lambda(n)) = o \left( \max_{y \leq x} \frac{1}{y} \sum_{n \leq y} \tau(\phi(n)) \right).$$



F. Luca, C. Pomerance, *On the Average Number of Divisors of the Euler Function*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 70/1-2 (2007), pp 125-148.

# Main Results

- (Theorem 1.1) As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\phi(n)) \geq \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\lambda(n)) \geq x \exp \left( 2e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}} (1 + o(1)) \right).$$



# Main Results

- (Theorem 1.1) As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\phi(n)) \geq \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\lambda(n)) \geq x \exp \left( 2e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}} (1 + o(1)) \right).$$

- (Theorem 1.2) As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\lambda(n)) = o \left( \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\phi(n)) \right).$$

# Heuristics

(Conjecture 1.1) As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\lambda(n)) = x \exp \left( 2\sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}} (1 + o(1)) \right).$$

Thus, it is expected that both sums  $\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\phi(n))$  and  $\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\lambda(n))$  satisfy

$$x \exp \left( 2\sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}} (1 + o(1)) \right).$$

# The Method - Theorem 1.1

- (Lemma 5 in LP) Let  $A > 0$  and  $1 < z \leq A \frac{\log x}{\log^4 x}$ . Then

$$S_z(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\tau_z(p-1)}{p} = c_1 \frac{\log x}{\log z} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log^2 z}\right).$$

# The Method - Theorem 1.1

- (Lemma 5 in LP) Let  $A > 0$  and  $1 < z \leq A \frac{\log x}{\log^4 x}$ . Then

$$S_z(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\tau_z(p-1)}{p} = c_1 \frac{\log x}{\log z} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log^2 z}\right).$$

- (Corollary 2.1) Let  $A > 1$  and  $\log^{\frac{1}{A}} x \leq z \leq \log^A x$ . Then as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$S_z(x) = c_1 \frac{\log x}{\log z} (1 + o(1)).$$

## The Method - Theorem 1.1

- We use  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_v$  to denote prime numbers. We define the following multiple sums for  $2 \leq v \leq x$ :

$$\mathfrak{T}_{v,z}(x) := \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_v \leq x} \frac{\tau_z(p_1 - 1) \tau_z(p_2 - 1) \cdots \tau_z(p_v - 1)}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_v},$$

and for  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_v)$  with  $1 \leq u_i \leq x$ ,

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{u},v,z}(x) := \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_v \leq x \\ \forall i, p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{u_i}}} \frac{\tau_z(p_1 - 1) \tau_z(p_2 - 1) \cdots \tau_z(p_v - 1)}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_v},$$

Define  $\mathbb{T}_v := \{(t_1, \dots, t_v) : \forall i, t_i \in [0, 1], t_1 + \cdots + t_v \leq 1\}$ . We adopt the idea from Gauss' Circle Problem.

$$p_1 p_2 \cdots p_v \leq x \iff \frac{\log p_1}{\log x} + \frac{\log p_2}{\log x} + \cdots + \frac{\log p_v}{\log x} \leq 1.$$

## The Method - Theorem 1.1

- Let  $v = \left\lfloor c \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}} \right\rfloor$  for some positive constant  $c$  to be determined.  
Use  $\text{vol}(\mathbb{T}_v) = \frac{1}{v!}$  to approximate

$$\mathfrak{F}_{v,z}(x) := \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_v \leq x} \frac{\tau_z(p_1 - 1) \tau_z(p_2 - 1) \cdots \tau_z(p_v - 1)}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_v},$$

by

$$\frac{1}{v!} S_z(x)^v (1 + o(1))^v.$$

## The Method - Theorem 1.1

- Let  $v = \left\lfloor c \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}} \right\rfloor$  for some positive constant  $c$  to be determined. Use  $\text{vol}(\mathbb{T}_v) = \frac{1}{v!}$  to approximate

$$\mathfrak{I}_{v,z}(x) := \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_v \leq x} \frac{\tau_z(p_1 - 1) \tau_z(p_2 - 1) \cdots \tau_z(p_v - 1)}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_v},$$

by

$$\frac{1}{v!} S_z(x)^v (1 + o(1))^v.$$

This approximation allows a lower bound of

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\tau(\lambda(n))}{n} \gg \exp \left( \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}} (2c + c \log c_1 - 2c \log c + o(1)) \right).$$

Maximizing above by the first derivative, the optimal choice for  $c$  is  $e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}$ . This proves Theorem 1.1.

## The Method - Theorem 1.2

- (Lemma 4.1) For any  $2 \leq y \leq x$ , we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq \frac{x}{y}}} \frac{\tau(\phi(n))}{n} \ll \frac{\log^5 x}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\phi(n)).$$



## The Method - Theorem 1.2

- (Lemma 4.1) For any  $2 \leq y \leq x$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \leq \frac{x}{y}} \frac{\tau(\phi(n))}{n} \ll \frac{\log^5 x}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\phi(n)).$$

Define  $\mathcal{E}_1(x)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_2(x)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_3(x)$ :

$$\mathcal{E}_1(x) := \{n \leq x : 2^k | n \text{ or there is a prime } p | n \text{ with } p \equiv 1 \pmod{2^k}\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_2(x) := \{n \leq x : \omega(n) \leq \omega\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_3(x) := \{n \leq x\} - (\mathcal{E}_1(x) \cup \mathcal{E}_2(x)).$$

Use Lemma 4.1 to estimate sums  $\sum \tau(\lambda(n))$  over the above three sets and obtain the estimate in Theorem 1.2.

# The Binomial Model

For  $z = \sqrt{\log x}$ , let

$$\frac{\tau_{z,z^2}(\text{lcm}(p_1 - 1, p_2 - 1, \dots, p_v - 1))}{\tau_{z,z^2}(p_1 - 1)\tau_{z,z^2}(p_2 - 1) \cdots \tau_{z,z^2}(p_v - 1)}.$$

Let the number  $X_q$  of primes  $p_1, \dots, p_v$  such that  $q|p_i - 1$ . We model  $X_q$  by a binomial distribution with parameters  $v$  (number of trials),  $\frac{2}{q}$  (probability of success).

# The Binomial Model

For  $z = \sqrt{\log x}$ , let

$$\frac{\tau_{z,z^2}(\text{lcm}(p_1 - 1, p_2 - 1, \dots, p_v - 1))}{\tau_{z,z^2}(p_1 - 1)\tau_{z,z^2}(p_2 - 1) \cdots \tau_{z,z^2}(p_v - 1)}.$$

Let the number  $X_q$  of primes  $p_1, \dots, p_v$  such that  $q|p_i - 1$ . We model  $X_q$  by a binomial distribution with parameters  $v$  (number of trials),  $\frac{2}{q}$  (probability of success).

- A Difficulty: Achieving "independence" of  $X_q$  with various primes  $q$ .

# The Binomial Model

For  $z = \sqrt{\log x}$ , let

$$\frac{\tau_{z,z^2}(\text{lcm}(p_1 - 1, p_2 - 1, \dots, p_v - 1))}{\tau_{z,z^2}(p_1 - 1)\tau_{z,z^2}(p_2 - 1) \cdots \tau_{z,z^2}(p_v - 1)}.$$

Let the number  $X_q$  of primes  $p_1, \dots, p_v$  such that  $q|p_i - 1$ . We model  $X_q$  by a binomial distribution with parameters  $v$  (number of trials),  $\frac{2}{q}$  (probability of success).

- A Difficulty: Achieving "independence" of  $X_q$  with various primes  $q$ .
- A Direction: Assuming the EH to prove the heuristics.

# The Binomial Model

For  $z = \sqrt{\log x}$ , let

$$\frac{\tau_{z,z^2}(\text{lcm}(p_1 - 1, p_2 - 1, \dots, p_v - 1))}{\tau_{z,z^2}(p_1 - 1)\tau_{z,z^2}(p_2 - 1) \cdots \tau_{z,z^2}(p_v - 1)}.$$

Let the number  $X_q$  of primes  $p_1, \dots, p_v$  such that  $q|p_i - 1$ . We model  $X_q$  by a binomial distribution with parameters  $v$  (number of trials),  $\frac{2}{q}$  (probability of success).

- A Difficulty: Achieving "independence" of  $X_q$  with various primes  $q$ .
- A Direction: Assuming the EH to prove the heuristics.

Thank you for listening!